Key concepts in Density Functional Theory (I) From the many body problem to the Kohn-Sham scheme

Silvana Botti

European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility (ETSF) CNRS - Laboratoire des Solides Irradiés Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau - France

> Temporary Address: Centre for Computational Physics University of Coimbra - Portugal

June 27, 2007 - Queen's University, Belfast



Outline

- The many-body problem
- 2 A solution: Density Functional Theory
- 3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems
- Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme

5 Summary



Outline

1 The many-body problem

2 A solution: Density Functional Theory

3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme

5 Summary



How

Ĥ

The many-body problem

Schrödinger Equation for interacting particles:



 $N_{
m e}$ electrons $N_{
m n}$ nuclei

$$\hat{H}\Psi\left(\{\mathbf{R}\},\{\mathbf{r}\}\right) = E\Psi\left(\{\mathbf{R}\},\{\mathbf{r}\}\right)$$

1023 months N ~ 1023 months 1023

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{I} = \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\{\mathbf{R}\}
ight) + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{nn}}\left(\{\mathbf{R}\}
ight) + \ & \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\{\mathbf{r}\}
ight) + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{ee}}\left(\{\mathbf{r}\}
ight) + \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathrm{en}}\left(\{\mathbf{R}\},\{\mathbf{r}\}
ight) \end{aligned}$$



The many-body problem

Many-particle Hamiltonian in more detail

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{n} &= \sum_{I=1}^{N_{n}} -\frac{\nabla_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}}, \, \hat{T}_{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} -\frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{2m}, \\ \hat{V}_{nn} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J,I \neq J}^{N_{n}} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|}, \, \hat{V}_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,i \neq J}^{N_{e}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|}, \\ \hat{U}_{en} &= - \sum_{j,J}^{N_{e},N_{n}} \frac{Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{J} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} \end{split}$$



Starting approximations

Born-Oppenheimer separation

Adiabatic approximation: the nuclei can be frozen in their equilibrium positions.

Example of equilibrium geometries





Starting approximations

Pseudopotential and pseudowavefunction · · Ψ_Z

Concept of pseudopotentials

The chemically *intert* core electrons are frozen in their atomic configuration and their effect on chemically *active* valence electrons is incorporated in an effective potential.



Pseudopotentials: generation criteria

A pseudopotential is not unique, several methods of generation also exist.

- The pseudo-electron eigenvalues must be the same as the valence eigenvalues obtained from the atomic wavefunctions.
- Pseudo-wavefunctions must match the all-electron wavefunctions outside the core (plus continuity conditions).
- The core charge produced by the pseudo-wavefunctions must be the same as that produced by the atomic wavefunctions (for norm-conserving pseudopotentials).
- The logaritmic derivatives and their first derivatives with respect to the energy must match outside the core radius (scattering properties)
- Additional criteria for different recipes.



Pseudopotentials: quality assessment

- Transferability: ability to describe the valence electrons in different environments.
- Efficiency: softness few plane waves basis functions.

It is important to find a compromise!

Moreover: Which states should be included in the valence and which states in the core? Problem of semicore states.



The many-body problem

Many-particle Hamiltonian in more detail

$$\hat{T}_{n} = \sum_{l=1}^{N_{n}} -\frac{\nabla_{l}^{2}}{2M_{l}}, \hat{T}_{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} -\frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{2m},$$

$$\text{CONST} \to \hat{V}_{nn} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J,I \neq J}^{N_n} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|}, \hat{V}_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,i \neq j}^{N_e} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|},$$

$$\hat{U}_{\rm en} = -\sum_{j,J}^{N_{\rm e},N_{\rm n}} \frac{Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_J - \mathbf{r}_j|} = \sum_j^{N_{\rm e}} v_{\rm ext}\left(\mathbf{r}_j\right)$$



The many-body problem

Schrödinger Equation for $N_{\rm e}$ interacting electrons:





 $N_{\rm e}$ electrons

DVDs

Why we don't like the electronic wavefunction

Oxygen atom (8 electrons)

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_8)$ depends on 24 coordinates

Rough table of the wavefunction:

10 entries per coordinate:	\implies	10 ²⁴ entries
1 byte per entry :	\implies	10 ²⁴ bytes
$5 imes 10^9$ bytes per DVD:	\implies	$2 \times 10^{14} \text{ DVDs}$
10 g per DVD:	\implies	$2 imes 10^{15}$ g DVI
	=	$\times 10^9$ t DVDs



Outline

The many-body problem

2 A solution: Density Functional Theory

3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme

5 Summary



Ground state densities vs potentials

Are the ground state densities $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ coming from different external potentials $v(\mathbf{r})$ always different?





Density functional theory (DFT): the essence

If we can give a positive answer, then it can be proved that

- (i) every observable quantity of a quantum system can be calculated from the density of the system <u>alone</u>.
- (ii) which means that the basic variable is no more the many-body wavefunction $\Psi({\mathbf{r}})$ but the electron density $\rho({\mathbf{r}})$.



P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).



Density functional theory (DFT): the essence

If we can give a positive answer, then it can be proved that

- (i) every observable quantity of a quantum system can be calculated from the density of the system <u>alone</u>.
- (ii) which means that the basic variable is no more the many-body wavefunction $\Psi({\mathbf{r}})$ but the electron density $\rho({\mathbf{r}})$.



P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).



Outline

The many-body problem

2 A solution: Density Functional Theory

3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme

5 Summary



Density functional theory (DFT)

Hohenberg-Kohn (HK) theorem – I

The ground state expectation value of any physical observable of a many-electron system is a unique functional of the electron density ρ .

Hohenberg-Kohn (HK) theorem – II

The total energy functional has a minimum, the ground state energy E_0 , in correspondence to the ground state density ρ_0 .



P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).



Density functional theory (DFT)

Restrictions:

- ground state properties
- the original proof is valid for local, spin-independent external potential, non-degenerate ground state
- there exist extensions to degenerate ground states, spin-dependent, magnetic systems, etc.



Hohenberg-Kohn theorem – I



$$G: \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
 is invertible



Hohenberg-Kohn theorem – I

The ground state expectation value of any physical observable of a many-electron system is a unique functional of the electron density ρ .

Proof:
$$\rho \xrightarrow{G^{-1}} \mathbf{v}[\rho] \xrightarrow{\text{solve S.E.}} \Psi_0[\rho]$$

 $\hat{O}[\rho] = \left\langle \Psi_0[\rho] | \hat{O} | \Psi_0[\rho] \right\rangle$



What is a functional?



Generalization:

 $v_{\mathbf{r}} = v \left[\rho \right] (\mathbf{r})$ is a functional depending parametrically on \mathbf{r} $\Psi_{\mathbf{r}_1...\mathbf{r}_N} = \Psi \left[\rho \right] (\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N)$ is a functional depending parametrically on $\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N$



Hohenberg-Kohn (HK) theorem – II

How to calculate the ground state density ρ_0 of a given system without recourse to the Schrödinger equation?

Variational principle

The total energy functional has a minimum, the ground state energy E_0 , in correspondence to the ground state density ρ_0 .

$$\left\langle \Psi_{0}|\hat{H}|\Psi_{0}
ight
angle = \mathsf{min}\, E_{\mathrm{HK}}\left[
ho
ight] = E_{0}\left[
ho_{0}
ight]$$

The solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho(\mathbf{r})}\left(E_{\rm HK}\left[\rho\right]-\mu\int\!{\rm d}^3r\,\rho(\mathbf{r})\right)=0$$

yields the exact ground-state energy E_0 and density $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$.



Hohenberg-Kohn (HK) theorem – II

The unknown universal functional

$$E_{\mathrm{KS}}[
ho] = F_{\mathrm{HK}}[
ho] + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, v(\mathbf{r})
ho(\mathbf{r})$$

The HK theorem shows the existence of the universal functional without determining it:

$$F_{\mathrm{HK}}\left[
ho
ight] = \left\langle \Psi_{0}|\hat{T} + \hat{U}|\Psi_{0}
ight
angle$$

In practice:

 $F_{\rm HK}[\rho]$ needs to be approximated and approximations of $T[\rho]$ lead to large errors in the total energy.



Outline

- The many-body problem
- 2 A solution: Density Functional Theory
- 3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems
- Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme

5 Summary



Reformulation: Kohn-Sham scheme



Essence

The density of a system of interacting particles can be calculated exactly as the density of an auxiliary system of non-interacting particles

 \implies Reformulation in terms of single-particle orbitals!



W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).



From the Hohenberg-Kohn variational principle

For a non-interacting system:

$$m{E}_{
m KS}\left[
ho
ight] = \langle \Psi[
ho] | \hat{\mathcal{T}} + \hat{V}_{
m KS} | \Psi[
ho]
angle = \mathcal{T}_{
m s}[
ho] + \int \!\mathrm{d}^3 r \,
ho(\mathbf{r}) v_{
m KS}(\mathbf{r})$$

Euler-Lagrange equation for the non-interacting system

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho(\mathbf{r})} \left(E_{\rm HK}\left[\rho\right] - \mu \int d^3 r \,\rho(\mathbf{r}) \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho(\mathbf{r})} T_{\rm s}\left[\rho\right] + v_{\rm KS}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu$$



Key concepts in Density Functional Theory (I)

Summary

Using a one-particle Schrödinger equation

Kohn-Sham equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i, \text{ lowest } \varepsilon_i} |\phi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

 $\varepsilon_i = \mathsf{KS}$ eigenvalues, $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \mathsf{KS}$ single-particle orbitals

What about $v_{\rm KS}$ for the non-interacting electron system?

- Uniqueness follows from HK 1-1 mapping
- Existence follows from V-representability theorem

Proof: $v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{\text{KS}}[\rho_0](\mathbf{r})$



Problem of V-representability

By construction, the HK mapping is well-defined for all $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ that are ground-state densities of some potential (so called V-representable functions $\rho(\mathbf{r})$)

Question

Are all reasonable functions $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ V-representable?

Answer: V-representability theorem

On a lattice (finite or infinite), any normalizable positive function $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, that is compatible with the Pauli principle, is (both interacting and non-interacting) ensemble-V-representable.



Chayes, Chayes, Ruskai, J Stat. Phys. 38, 497 (1985).



Problem of V-representability

Answer: V-representability theorem

In other words:

For any given $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ normalizable, positive, compatible with Pauli principle there exists a potential, $v[\rho](\mathbf{r})$, yielding $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ as interacting ground-state density, and there exists another potential, $v_s[\rho](\mathbf{r})$, yielding $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ as non-interacting ground-state density.

In the worst case, the potential has degenerate ground states such that the given $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is representable as a linear combination of the degenerate ground-state densities (ensemble-V-representable).



Chayes, Chayes, Ruskai, J Stat. Phys. 38, 497 (1985).



Reformulation: Kohn-Sham scheme

Kohn-Sham one-particle equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i |\phi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

 $\varepsilon_i = KS$ eigenvalues, $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = KS$ single-particle orbitals which is the form of v_{KS} for the non-interacting electrons? Hartree potential

$$v_{\mathrm{KS}}\left(\mathbf{r}
ight) = v\left(\mathbf{r}
ight) + v_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{r}
ight) + v_{\mathrm{xc}}\left(\mathbf{r}
ight)$$

unknown exchange-correlation (xc) potential



Key concepts in Density Functional Theory (I)

Kohn-Sham scheme: Hartree and xc potentials

Hartree potential

$$u_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\left[
ho
ight],\mathbf{r}
ight)=\int\!\mathrm{d}^{3}r'\,rac{
ho\left(\mathbf{r}'
ight)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'
ight|}$$

 $v_{\rm H}$ describes classic electrostatic interaction

Exchange-correlation (xc) potential

$$m{v}_{
m xc}\left(\left[
ho
ight],m{r}
ight)=rac{\delta\,\mathcal{E}_{
m xc}\left[
ho
ight]}{\delta\,
ho\left(m{r}
ight)}$$

 $v_{\rm xc}$ encompasses many-body effects



Approximations for the xc potential

• LDA:

$$E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}\left[\rho\right] = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{HEG}}\left(\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right)$$

• LSDA:

$$E_{ ext{xc}}^{ ext{LSDA}}\left[
ho_{\uparrow},
ho_{\downarrow}
ight] = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r\,
ho\left(\mathbf{r}
ight)\epsilon_{ ext{xc}}^{ ext{HEG}}\left(
ho_{\uparrow},
ho_{\downarrow}
ight)$$

• GGA:

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm GGA}\left[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right] = \int d^{3}r \,\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm GGA}\left(\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla\rho_{\downarrow}\right)$$

• meta-GGA:

 $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm MGGA}\left[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right] = \int d^3 r \,\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm MGGA}\left(\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla^2\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla^2\rho_{\downarrow},\tau_{\uparrow},\tau_{\downarrow}\right)$

• EXX, SIC-LDA, hybrid Hartree-Fock/DFT functionals



Approximations for the xc potential

• LDA:

$$E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}\left[\rho\right] = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{HEG}}\left(\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right)$$

• LSDA:

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm LSDA}\left[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right] = \int d^{3}r \,\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm HEG}\left(\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right)$$

• GGA:

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm GGA}\left[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right] = \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho\left({\bf r}\right) \epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm GGA}\left(\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla\rho_{\downarrow}\right)$$

• meta-GGA:

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{MGGA}}\left[\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow}\right] = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \,\rho\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \epsilon_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{MGGA}}\left(\rho_{\uparrow},\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla\rho_{\downarrow},\nabla^{2}\rho_{\uparrow},\nabla^{2}\rho_{\downarrow},\tau_{\uparrow},\tau_{\downarrow}\right)$$

• EXX, SIC-LDA, hybrid Hartree-Fock/DFT functionals



Outline

- The many-body problem
- 2 A solution: Density Functional Theory
- 3 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems
- Practical implementations: the Kohn-Sham scheme





- The electron density is the key-variable to study ground-state properties of an interacting electron system
- The ground state expectation value of any physical observable of a many-electron system is a unique functional of the electron density ρ .
- The total energy functional $E_{\rm HK}[\rho]$ has a minimum, the ground state energy E_0 , in correspondence to the ground state density ρ_0 .
- The universal functional $F_{\mathrm{HK}}\left[
 ho
 ight]$ is hard to approximate
- The Kohn-Sham scheme allows a reformulation in terms of one-particle orbitals.



Suggestion of essential bibliography

- P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
 - W. Kohn, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 1253 1266 (1999).
- R. M. Dreizler and E.K.U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory*, Springer (Berlin, 1990).



- R.G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules*, (Oxford, New York, 1989).
- Eds. C. Fiolhais, F. Nogueira, M. A. L. Marques, A primer in Density Functional Theory, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, 2003).
 - K. Burke, Lecture Notes in Density Functional Theory, http://dft.rutgers.edu/kieron/beta/

